



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
087828.417	03/28/97	MIRASAKI H	P9702-MG

QM21/0722  
LACKENBACH SIEGEL MARZULLO ARONSON  
& GREENSPAN  
ONE CHASE ROAD  
SCARSDALE NY 10583

EXAMINER
HOTALING, J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3713	5

DATE MAILED: 07/22/99

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
08/828,417

Applicant(s)  
Mirasaki et al.

Examiner  
J Hotaling II

Group Art Unit  
3713



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) J Hotaling II

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Myron Greenspan

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jun 5, 1999

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

patent 5695401 to Lowe et al

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The above mentioned patent was inadvertently left off the PTO-892 form and was brought to the attention of the examiner by the attorney for the applicant. It was noted that the Lowe '401 patent is the one cited in the Office Action for the instant application. The examiner has made the correction to the PTO-892 so that Lowe '401 will be made of record and has faxed a copy of the reference to the attorney since it was missing when the office action was mailed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

JESSICA J. HARRISON  
PRIMARY EXAMINER